

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

### BLACK BORDERS

- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**

AA9

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification 6 : B09C 1/06</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/50179 (43) International Publication Date: 12 November 1998 (12.11.98)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP98/02801 (22) International Filing Date: 6 May 1998 (06.05.98) (30) Priority Data: 60/045,896 7 May 1997 (07.05.97) US (71) Applicant (for all designated States except CA US): SHELL INTERNATIONALE RESEARCH MAATSCHAPPIJ B.V. [NL/NL]; Carel van Bylandtlaan 30, NL-2596 HR The Hague (NL). (71) Applicant (for CA only): SHELL CANADA LIMITED [CA/CA]; 400 - 4th Avenue S.W., Calgary, Alberta T2P 2H5 (CA). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): DE ROUFFIGNAC, Eric [US/US]; 411 Highland, Houston, TX 77079 (US). VINEGAR, Harold, J. [US/US]; 5219 Yarwell, Houston, TX 77096 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</p>
<p>(54) Title: REMEDIATION METHOD</p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>A method is provided to remove contaminants that are more dense than water from a contaminated volume of earth, the contaminants pooled (107) above a layer of earth, the method comprising the steps of: providing an essentially horizontal wellbore (204) along the interface between the layer of earth and the contaminants; heating at least a portion of the contaminants in situ from the wellbore (204); and removing the heated contaminants by heating through the horizontal wellbore. The wellbores preferably include both heaters (103, 105) and provide a conduit for removal of contaminants, and preferably also provide heaters located within the wellbores in addition to those heaters required to vaporize the contaminants to maintain the contaminants in a vapour state until the vapours reach a well head and can be further processed at the surface.</p>		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

- 1 -

## REMEDIATION METHOD

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to an in situ thermal desorption process for remediation of denser than water contaminates trapped on impermeable earth layers.

5 BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION

Thermal desorption methods to remove volatile contaminates from soils in situ are suggested in, for example, U.S. patents 4,973,811, 5,076,727, 5,152,341, 5,190,405, 5,193,934, 5,221,827, and 5,271,693. Methods  
10 of applying heat include microwave and radio frequency electrical power along with resistance heating between electrodes; injection of hot gases; and conduction of electricity through the soil. Conductive heat transfer from heat injection wells are suggested in, for example,  
15 patents 5,190,405 and 5,271,693. U.S. patent 5,271,693 suggests a heat injection well through which vapours are extracted from the formation.

These methods generally rely on maintenance of a low pressure at the surface or at a vapour extraction  
20 wellbore to control movement of contaminates from their initial position to a point where they can be recovered. When the contaminates are substantially immiscible with water and are more dense than water, the contaminates tend to sink downward and collect on clay or other  
25 impermeable layers. These contaminates are referred to as DNAPLs (dense nonaqueous phase liquids). These layers of clay often lay along old river beds that have been covered by sediment. These clay surfaces are generally covered with depressions (like a muffin tin) which act as

- 2 -

traps for the DNAPL. Pools of DNAPL therefore are often thin and scattered along low spots on the layer of clay.

5 The most common remediation method for such  
contaminates is to put a well where it is thought that  
depressions lie in the clay surface, and to pump liquids  
out of the well until no more contaminants are produced.  
Old river bed channels or other depressions could  
therefore be inches away from the point the wellbore is  
placed, and the contaminants laying in the channel could  
10 be unrecoverable. DNAPLs generally contaminate ground  
water by slowly dissolving in the ground water. Attempts  
to remove DNAPLs by pumping and treating ground water are  
very slow because of limited solubility of DNAPLs in  
water. Such sites therefore must be maintained for  
15 extended periods of time. Further, it is not always  
convenient or possible to put wellbores above each  
suspected low spot.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to  
provide a method to remove DNAPLs from pools on  
20 impermeable layers. It is a further object to provide  
such a method wherein the contaminants can be removed in  
a relatively short period of time, and wherein numerous  
wellbores above pools of DNAPLs are not required.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

25 This and other objects are accomplished by a method  
to remove contaminants that are more dense than water  
from a contaminated volume of earth, the contaminants  
pooled above a layer of earth, the method comprising the  
steps of: providing an essentially horizontal wellbore  
30 along the interface between the layer of earth and the  
contaminates; heating at least a portion of the  
contaminates in situ from the wellbore; and removing the

heated contaminates by heating through the horizontal wellbore.

The wellbores preferably include both heaters and provide a conduit for removal of contaminates, and preferably also provide heaters located within the wellbores in addition to those heaters required to vaporize the contaminates to maintain the contaminates in a vapour state until the vapours reach a well head and can be further processed at the surface.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a vertical profile of a wellbore according to the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a plan view of a series of parallel essentially horizontal wellbores according to the present invention.

#### DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

This invention addresses a problem of contaminants lying along a layer on which DNAPL collects. This may be a soil layer of very low permeability, such as a clay or silty clay, or a permeable layer through which the DNAPL has not penetrated due to insufficient hydraulic head. It has been found that heating these contaminants effectively removes the contaminants economically and within a reasonable time frame.

The present invention is applicable to a wide variety of contaminates. PCBs, mercury, chlorinated solvents, and heavy gas oils, for example, can be removed as liquids or vapours by the present invention. Normal boiling points of these materials are well above temperatures that can be initially achieved in situ. Initially, application of heat results in lowered viscosity of the DNAPL, and therefore increased rate of drainage into the well. At higher temperatures, connate water will vaporize,

- 4 -

resulting in steam distillation, removing a further significant amount of DNAPL. After liquid water is vaporized, temperatures will increase more rapidly, resulting in further vaporization of DNAPLs. Vaporization of the DNAPLs results in removal of almost all contaminants even if the DNAPLs are trapped in a pool somewhat below the horizontal wellbore. This eliminates the need to provide a wellbore into every low spot in the interface between the permeable and impermeable layers.

The wellbores of the present invention can be cased and cemented wellbores such as are utilized in the oil industry, but such elaborate wells may not be justified in most applications. Typically wells can be utilized in the present invention that are cased but not cemented. The wellbore may be perforated for collection of vapours according to methods well known in the oil industry.

Heat is imparted to the contaminants by thermal conduction from the wellbore. Wellbore heaters useful for heating the wellbore to provide heat for thermal conduction into the formation are known. Electrical wellbore heaters are disclosed in, for example, U.S. patent 5,060,287, incorporated herein by reference. For example, gas fired wellbore heaters are taught in U.S. patents 2,902,270, and 3,181,613, incorporated herein by reference. A preferred gas fired wellbore heater is disclosed in, for example, U.S. patent 5,255,742, incorporated herein by reference.

Heat is applied to the contaminants by conduction, and is preferably applied from a wellbore which also serves as a source of suction to remove contaminate containing vapours from the wellbore. In this preferred embodiment, vaporized contaminants are therefore transported from the formation directly to the wellbore

- 5 -

for recovery without the possibility that they are transported to cooler soil where the contaminants could condense, causing an increased concentration of contaminants where condensation occurs.

5       As heat is applied to the soil, vapour is generated by the heat when the temperature reaches the boiling point of liquids in the region being heated. Generally, liquid water will be present, and the contaminants will be removed by steam distillation as water vaporizes in  
10       the soil surrounding the contaminants and passes through the soil to the vapour collection conduits. It is preferred that at least some of the mobile contaminate be removed from the wellbore by pumping prior to heating to a temperature at which vapours are generated in the  
15       region near the wellbore. Adding heat lowers the viscosity of the contaminants, and results, at least initially, in increased rates of drainage of the DNAPLs to the wellbore. More preferably, DNAPLs are removed by pumping liquids from wellbore until mostly water is being  
20       pumped. One reason for this is that applying heat to the DNAPLs when the DNAPLs saturate the soil in contact with the wellbore may decompose in them to coke. Significant amounts of coke would reduce permeability of the perforations and the soil directly around the wellbore.  
25       The presence of an excess of water will suppress coke formation on heating.

      Electrical resistance heaters are shown, but other heater types could also be provided. Electrical heaters are convenient because they do not require flowlines  
30       within the casing, leaving more room for flow of vapours from the contaminated soil.

      Additional wellbores equipped to insert heat and/or to remove vapours, referred to as guard wells, are



preferably provided in soil surrounding the contaminated zone in order to ensure that contaminants do not move away from the initially contaminated volume. Additional guard wells could also be placed around the contaminated zone to reduce water flow into the heated soil and permit higher temperatures at the location of the contaminants.

Another option to reduce flow of ground water into a contaminated zone that is being heated is to provide a sheet wall, cement, bentonite slurry wall, or other impermeable barrier around the contaminated wall around the contaminated zone. The impermeable barrier could extend from the surface to at least the top of a relatively impermeable barrier. Typically, the top of the low permeable layer on which the DNAPL sits is deep enough for the impermeable barrier.

Stainless steel slotted or perforated liners of about 4 inches diameter are preferred for lining the horizontal boreholes. The wells can be drilled starting from a location about 50 m (150 ft) away from the edge of the contamination when the contamination is about 9 m (30 feet) below the surface. For other depths, the distance away is preferably chosen so that the average angle of the well approaching the contamination is between about 15° and about 45°. A nonmagnetic jet source is preferably used for drilling the holes. The non-magnetic jet source is preferred to avoid interference with a preferred drill steering control that includes a sonde with accelerometer and 3-axis magnetometer within feet of the bit. A gamma ray logging sonde located just behind the drill steering sonde can be used to measure the height above the clay. To do this, first a vertical core is obtained and natural gamma radiation is measured using a core gamma ray logger. Then, based on natural

- 7 -

gamma ray intensity of clay relative to sand found from this core, data from the gamma ray sonde can be used to determine the drill bit location above the clay. Lateral steering can be accomplished using a surface magnetic dipole loop source to guide the 3-axis magnetometer located in the drill steering sonde. The strength of the magnetic dipole signal can also be used to indicate the depth of the sonde relative to the surface. Magnetic dipole surface locations are preferably surveyed before drilling commences and the bit can then be guided towards these locations.

Bentonite mud can be used for drilling fluid, contained in a closed loop circulation system. A closed loop system is preferred to contain any contamination that becomes mixed with drilling fluid.

The horizontal wellbores (for example, of about 15 cm diameter) can be cased with, for example, K55 steel and cemented with class G cement in the section from the surface down to the horizontal section. The horizontal section can be, for example, a 10 cm nominal (11.2 cm outer diameter) 304 stainless steel schedule 10 slotted pipe. Each 6 m joint within the horizontal section can be, for example, fully slotted with 5 cm long vertical slots 15 rows around the pipe. There are therefore preferably about 200 slots per metre. Natural pack development should be, in most cases, sufficient for the well completion in a sandy soil.

Heaters can be contained in 7.5 cm outer diameter 304 stainless steel schedule 10 heating pipe sealed at the far end of the horizontal section. The heating element inside the heating pipe consists of 3 mm nichrome wire strung in interlocking steatite insulating beads (available, for example, from Cooperheat Inc., Houston,

Texas). Periodically the beads, can be threaded to a stainless steel strip for conveying the heating element into the pipe. Type K "INCONEL" sheathed thermocouples can be located periodically in holes in the steatite beads for temperature sensing and control. The heating pipe can maintain a temperature at the surface of the pipe of up to about 750 °C (1600 °F) if required for heating the slotted liner. The heating pipe is movable and heating elements can be replaced in the heating pipe while still in the well.

Referring now to FIG. 1, a vertical cross section of a horizontal wellbore suitable for practice of the present invention is shown. A horizontal wellbore is cased, in a horizontal section 102 with a perforated casing and in a section leading to the horizontal section with a nonperforated casing. The nonperforated casing is cemented with cement 104 to prevent migration of liquids along the wellbore. A heat tube 103 is within the casing. The heat tube contains heat elements 105 which generate heat by electrical resistance. The horizontal section is placed at an interface between an impermeable clay layer 106 and a pool of DNAPL contaminants 107. The pool of contaminates saturates a lower portion of a permeable sediment 108 above the clay layer. Heat 110 generated by the heating elements is transferred out of the casing and vapours 111 generated within the soil flow into the casing through perforations 112.

Referring now to FIG. 2, a plan view of an exemplary application of the present invention is shown. An area of contamination 201 is trapped on top of an impermeable layer of clay, and is located below a parking lot 202. A significant advantage of using horizontal wellbores is that the well heads may be located with considerable more

flexibility than vertical wellbores. In the example shown in FIG. 2, for example, the contaminated region is located underneath a parking lot. With vertical wellbores, the wellheads would have to be located nearly  
5 above the contaminated region, or within the parking lot shown. With horizontal wellbores, wellheads 203 can be located remotely, and considerable more flexibility exists as to in which direction from the contamination the wellbores can be placed. The distance between the  
10 contaminated region and the wellbores generally must be above a minimum required distance (which varies with the depth of the contamination) but at an expense, can be located farther away from the contamination. Economics can dictate how far above the minimum distance the  
15 wellheads are located to the contamination. Horizontal wellbores 204 are shown extending from the wellheads toward the contaminated region. A manifold 205 collects vapours at the wellheads and provides a conduit to route the vapours to a treatment facility 206. Distance 207  
20 between parallel horizontal wellbores can be, for example, between about 1 and about 6 m. This distance is preferably less than about 3 m because heat loss to above and below the contamination from the heater becomes excessive if the distance between the wellbore and a  
25 location which must be heated from the wellbore becomes too great. How close the wellbores are to each other is limited only by a tradeoff between cost of providing the wellbores and wellheads and factors such as the time required to remediate and the total energy cost.

30 The contaminated volume is shown as underneath an overburden, but if the soil is to be heated to the surface, insulation can be provided above at the surface. Further, if the contaminated volume extends to near the

- 10 -

surface, it could be beneficial to provide a vapour seal over the surface to prevent excessive amounts of air from being pulled into the contaminated volume.

5       Vapours are removed through the horizontal wellbores, and these vapours can then be treated to remove contaminants by methods known in the art. For example, thermal oxidizers can be provided to oxidize the  
10       contaminates, and then the remaining vapour stream could be passed through carbon beds to collect residual contaminants and/or the oxidation products of the  
15       contaminants. A blower will generally be provided to maintain a low absolute pressure within the wellbore. Lower pressures are beneficial because lower pressures decrease the temperatures at which water and contaminants are vaporized.

#### EXAMPLE

20       Three alternatives for processes to remove PCBs (exemplary of DNAPLs) from under a water table and lying on a clay layer were evaluated by a numerical simulation using a heat and mass transfer model. The first two  
25       alternatives are examples of conventional prior art. The third option is exemplary of a preferred embodiment of present invention. The values used in the simulation are based on an existing contaminated site. The pool of PCBs are 30 m by 60 m, and at the deepest point, 0.9 m deep.  
30       Pressure-temperature-density relationships of the PCB's at this site were based on data from EPA publications. The model includes a vadose zone, a saturated zone, a free-flowing PCB zone and bounding clay layers underneath. Horizontal wells are placed on top of a bounding clay at the base of the PCB pool, along the length of the pool. The set of wells across the PCB pool give rise to a repetitive pattern 3 m wide. The

- 11 -

horizontal wells can be drilled and completed in about 30 days for an estimated total cost of about US \$350,000. The access to the area directly above the contamination will be unrestricted during both drilling and  
5 remediation.

Conditions for the simulations are as follows:

Vadose Zone:

Thickness = 3.9 m

Porosity = 30%

10 Hydraulic conductivity = 0.72 m/day

Permeability = 0.9 Darcy

Hydraulic gradient = 0.012

Initial Water saturation = 30%

Initial Air saturation = 69.8%

15 Initial PCB saturation = 0.1%

Irreducible Water Sat. = 30%

Thermal Conductivity

Dry =  $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$  cal/sec/cm/°C

Wet =  $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$  cal/sec/cm/°C

20 Saturated Zone:

Thickness = 3.8 m

Porosity = 30%

Hydraulic conductivity = 0.72 m/day

Permeability = 0.9 Darcy

25 Hydraulic gradient = 0.012

Initial Water saturation = 94.7%

Initial Air saturation = 5%

Initial PCB saturation = 13%

Irreducible Water saturation = 30%

30 Thermal Conductivity

Dry =  $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$  cal/sec/cm/°C

Wet =  $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$  cal/sec/cm/°C

## Free flowing PCB Zone:

Thickness = 0.75 m

Porosity = 30%

Hydraulic conductivity = 3 m/day

5 Permeability = 3.7 Darcy

Hydraulic gradient = 0.012

Initial Water saturation = 20%

Initial Air saturation = 0.1%

Initial PCB saturation = 79.9%

10 Irreducible Water saturation = 30%

Irreducible PCB saturation = 25%

Thermal Conductivity

Dry =  $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$  cal/sec/cm/°CWet =  $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$  cal/sec/cm/°C15 Option 1

This option involves the use of two 15 cm outer diameter wells. One well is about 60 m long and located along the small reservoir dip while the second well is nearly perpendicular to the first and located along the east end of the pool of contaminates. The contaminates drain into the two wells by gravity drainage and are pumped to the surface for off-site disposal.

20 Option 2

For this option, a set of ten horizontal wells are used across the 30 m (approximately) width of the pool and completed as per the description above of the preferred embodiment. In this example, the proximity of the wells (3 m well distance) is used to accelerate production together with a bottom hole pressure of about 1.1 bar which allows for approximately 0.76 bar across the perforations. The time to achieve residual saturation for this option becomes two to three years. The produced

- 13 -

fluids including PCBs and water are collected at the surface and disposed off-site.

### Option 3

5 This alternative again utilizes the 10 horizontal well array; each equipped with a heater in the horizontal section and another heater in the vertical section to prevent condensation of PCB in the vertical section. Produced PCB's are mostly destroyed in situ. The remaining PCBs are kept in the gas phase though up-hole heaters until the produced gases reach a thermal oxidizer on a process trailer at the surface where they are fully destroyed.

### RESULTS

15 In option 3, the example of the preferred embodiment, substantial desaturation of the PCBs is obtained early on due to three effects: the flush production from a suction well operating at 16 psi bottom hole pressure; the rapid flush distillation of PCBs by the water present (30% initial saturation); and the rapid boil-off of PCBs driving all fluids into a very mobile gas phase. As the thermal fronts expand outward these last two effects account for the bulk of the production. Once water is gone, the boiling of PCBs into the gas phase is the main production mechanism which is entirely driven by the rate of heat injectivity into dry soil. Very low residual levels (about 2 ppm) of PCBs are achieved in about 240 days. Anisotropy and other soil imperfections, such as muffin-tin depressions, will result in options 1 and 2 being substantially less effective than the modeling results predict. These imperfections have less effect on option 3 because heat transfer is much less sensitive to soil variability, and the high gas phase mobility circumvents permeability heterogeneity.



- 14 -

Option 3, which involves heating the contaminated soil with an array of ten horizontal wells, also represents the most cost effective way of treating that site.

- 5 The table below shows the duration and costs (in millions of US dollars) for the four options described above, along with the present value of the costs (discounted at 5%).

TABLE 1

OP-TION	DURA-TION YEARS	CAPITAL COST PV US\$×10 <sup>-6</sup>	O&M <sup>1</sup> COST PV US\$×10 <sup>-6</sup>	TOTAL COST PV US\$×10 <sup>-6</sup>	TOTAL COST US\$×10 <sup>-6</sup>	PCBs AT END <sup>2</sup>
1	100	0.3	1.5	1.8	8.	6.62 %wt
2	2	0.9	1.7	2.6	2.7	5.51 %wt
3	1	0.9	0.7	1.6	1.6	0.0002 %wt

<sup>1</sup> Operating and maintenance costs- present value.

- 10 <sup>2</sup> PCBs remaining after the DURATION of the remediation.

- 15 From the Table it can be seen that Option 3 (the present invention) results in significant savings in costs and also the time required for remediation. Further, remediation is more complete by a dramatic amount. Because Options 1 and 2 rely on drainage, they rely on a smooth interface between the permeable and impermeable surfaces. Because these surfaces are generally not smooth, the estimated recoveries for

Options 1 and 2 would not actually be achieved. Because Option 3 heats the entire surface to the boiling point of the PCB, liquid phase drainage to the well is not necessary, and the level of remaining PCBs estimated by the simulation is achievable, even with trapped pools of PCBs on the surface of the clay. Thus, remediation is considerably more reliable and complete, with pockets of contaminants not remaining in low spots between well-bores, using the present invention.

- 16 -

C L A I M S

1. A method to remove contaminates that are more dense than water from a contaminated volume of earth, the contaminates pooled above a layer of earth, the method comprising the steps of:
  - 5 providing an essentially horizontal wellbore along the interface between the layer of earth and the contaminates;  
heating at least a portion of the contaminates in situ from the wellbore; and
  - 10 removing the heated contaminates by heating through the horizontal wellbore.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the heating is accomplished by heating from within the horizontal wellbore.
- 15 3. The method of claim 1 wherein a plurality of essentially parallel horizontal wellbores are provided along the interface between the layer of earth and the contaminates.
4. The method of claim 2 wherein the essentially  
20 horizontal wellbores each both provide heat to the formation and serve as conduits to collect vaporized contaminants.
5. The method of claim 2 wherein alternating essentially  
25 horizontal wellbores provide heat to the formation and provide as conduits to collect vaporized contaminants.
6. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of removing at least some contaminates in a liquid phase prior to vaporizing the contaminants.

- 17 -

7. The method of claim 1 wherein contaminants are maintained in a vapour phase by supplemental heaters in the essentially horizontal wellbore.
8. The method of claim 1 wherein the contaminants have low solubility in water.
9. The method of claim 1 wherein the heating is accomplished at least in part by electrical heaters within the horizontal wellbore.
10. The method of claim 1 wherein the layer of earth is selected from the group consisting of a clay layer and a silty clay layer.
11. The method of claim 1 wherein at least a portion of the contaminants are removed as vapours.

Fig.1.





Fig.2.



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/EP 98/02801

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 6 B09C1/06

According to International Patent Classification(IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 6 B09C

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 190 405 A (VINEGAR ET AL) 2 March 1993 cited in the application see abstract see column 5, line 9 - line 57 see column 7, line 35 - column 8, line 46 see column 9, line 26 - line 38 see column 11, line 53 - column 12, line 5; figures 1-3	1-4,8,9, 11
A	US 5 547 311 A (KENDA) 20 August 1996 see abstract see column 4, line 61 - column 5, line 8 see column 5, line 49 - column 6, line 30; figures	1-4,8,9, 11

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "A" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

16 September 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

25/09/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3018

Authorized officer

Van der Zee, W

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/EP 98/02801

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 152 341 A (KASEVICH) 6 October 1992 cited in the application see abstract see column 5, line 55 - line 66 see column 6, line 45 - column 7, line 48; figures 1-4 ---	1-4, 6, 8, 9, 11
A	US 5 449 251 A (DAILY ET AL) 12 September 1995 see abstract see column 2, line 14 - line 56 see column 3, line 6 - column 5, line 33; figure 1 ---	1, 2, 6, 8-11
A	EP 0 548 766 A (HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY) 30 June 1993 see abstract see column 1, line 17 - line 27 see column 3, line 16 - line 34 see column 4, line 6 - line 14; figures -----	1, 8, 11



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 98/02801

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5190405	A	02-03-1993	US 5318116 A	07-06-1994
US 5547311	A	20-08-1996	NONE	
US 5152341	A	06-10-1992	US 5065819 A	19-11-1991
			AU 1464592 A	06-10-1992
			EP 0604427 A	06-07-1994
			WO 9215770 A	17-09-1992
			EP 0580575 A	02-02-1994
			WO 9218748 A	29-10-1992
			US 5199488 A	06-04-1993
US 5449251	A	12-09-1995	NONE	
EP 0548766	A	30-06-1993	US 5249888 A	05-10-1993
			CA 2084274 A	21-06-1993
			JP 6007764 A	18-01-1994
			MX 9207368 A	01-07-1993